

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/749,346	12/30/2003	Daniel F. Justin	13447.40	8202
. 22913 7	10/20/2006		EXAMINER	
WORKMAN NYDEGGER			STEWART, ALVIN J	
(F/K/A WORKMAN NYDEGGER & SEELEY) 60 EAST SOUTH TEMPLE 1000 EAGLE GATE TOWER SALT LAKE CITY, UT 84111			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3738 DATE MAILED: 10/20/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\mathcal{N}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Y
Office Action Summany	10/749,346	JUSTIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alvin J. Stewart	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Au	ugust 2006.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E			e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> is/are pendin 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-28, 30, 31, 38-40, 42, 43, 45-47, 5</u> 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>14,15,18-21,23 and 24</u> is/are rejected 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>63</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	vn from consideration. 60, 55, 56 and 58-62 is/are allowe I.	d.	
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	l Stage
Attachment(s)	лП .	(DTO 440)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate	O-152)

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims pending in the application are 14, 15, 18-21,23, 24, 26-28,30,31,38-40, 42, 43, 45-47,50, 55, 56, 58-63.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed August 14, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The new limitations entered to the claims substituting the cancelled claims do not place the case in condition for allowance. The new limitations are re-phrasing the previous functional language disclosed in the last amendment.

The new limitations do not place the Applicant's invention in a better position for overcoming the prior art. The Van Zile et al reference and the Albrektsson et al reference clearly disclose a bearing plate (22) having a stem (48) downwardly projecting from the bottom surface of the plate and that stem is capable of being permanently fixed to the bearing plate. If no need of removal, the stem can be capable of being permanently fixed to the bearing plate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 14, and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Van Zile et al US Patent 5,019,103.

Van Zile et al discloses a condyle implant comprising a bearing plate (22) having a top articular surface (24) and an opposing bottom surface (62), a stem (48) downwardly projecting

Art Unit: 3738

from the bottom surface of the bearing plate and means for connecting (see Figs. 1, 3 and 4) a fastener (50) to the stem.

Regarding claim 18, see Fig. 1.

Regarding claim 19, see col. 6, lines 53-55.

Regarding the new limitations in claim 14, the term "permanently fixed" was held not to be limited to a fabrication of the parts from a single piece of material, but was inclusive of other means of maintaining the parts fixed together as a single unit. In re Larson et al., 340 F 2d 965, 144 U.S.P.O. 347 (C.C.P.A. 1965). The word "permanently fixed" is sufficiently broad to embrace constructions united by such means as fastening and welding. In re Hotte, 475 F 2d 644, 177 U.S. P.Q. 326 (C.C.P.A. 1973).

Regarding claim 55, the elongated fastener is element 76 and the tubular bone anchor is element 48.

Claims 14, 21, and 23-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Albrektsson et al US Patent 6,102,954.

Albrektsson et al discloses a condyle implant comprising a bearing plate (30) having a top articular surface and an opposing bottom surface, a stem (20) downwardly projecting from the bottom surface of the bearing plate and means for connecting (threaded-spiral surface) a fastener (21) to the stem. The stem is removably encircling at least a portion of the fastener (see Fig. 10).

Claims 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ek US Pub. 2004/0106928.

Ek discloses a condyle implant comprising a bearing plate (20) having a top articular surface (31) and an opposing bottom surface, a stem (21) downwardly projecting from the bottom surface of the bearing plate and means for connecting a fastener (22) to the stem.

Regarding the new limitations in claim 14, the term "permanently fixed" was held not to be limited to a fabrication of the parts from a single piece of material, but was inclusive of other means of maintaining the parts fixed together as a single unit. <u>In re Larson et al.</u>, 340 F 2d 965, 144 U.S.P.Q. 347 (C.C.P.A. 1965). The word "permanently fixed" is sufficiently broad to embrace constructions united by such means as fastening and welding. In re Hotte, 475 F 2d 644, 177 U.S. P.Q. 326 (C.C.P.A. 1973).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 63 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 26-28, 30, 31, 38-40, 42, 43, 45-47, 50, 55, 56 and 58-62 are allowed.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin J. Stewart whose telephone number is 571-272-4760. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00AM-5:30PM(1 Friday B-week off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on 571-272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/749,346

Art Unit: 3738

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALVIN J. STEWART PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5

Art Unit 3738

October 06, 2006